

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/749,330                   | 12/27/2000      | Walter J. Pories     | 5218-78             | 5474             |
| 20792                        | 7590 06/07/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC |                 |                      | NAJARIAN, LENA      |                  |
| PO BOX 3742<br>RALEIGH, N    | -               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 10.221011, 1                 |                 |                      | 3626                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                                  |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|  | 09/749,330   | PORIES ET AL.  |                                  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit   | ·                                |  |  |
|  | Lena Najarian  | 3626   |                                  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  | <del></del>  | ess                              |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  | <b>,</b>   |                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of №   | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on            | ), which is after the ex                                 |                                  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does  |  |  | •                                |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (                                       | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                                    |  |                                  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).      |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85).   | s received on (with a Certifice eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Tran<br>nd publication fee) set        | ismission dated in the Notice of |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.  |  |                                  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if required by 37                                 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$                                       | <u>_</u> .                       |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   | uired by, and within the three-month                                   | period set in, the Notic                                 | ce of                            |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.   |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  | ·  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                                 | signee of the entire into                                | erest, or all of                 |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres                                  | sentative capacity und                                   | er 37 CFR                        |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair   |  | se the period for seeki                                  | ng court review                  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |  | JOSEPH THOMAS<br>VISORY PATENT EXAI<br>HNOLOGY CENTER 36 | •                                |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  Notice of                   | w the holding of abandonment under 37                                  |  | romptly filed to                 |  |  |